

## Interview Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/482,054	MARGON, KENNETH		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Steven HD Nguyen	2665		

	Steven HD Nguyen	2665		
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):				
(1) Steven HD Nguyen.	(3)Mr Trevor Coddington.			
(2)	(4) Mr Conno Carrano.			
Date of Interview: 6///02				
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2)☑ applicant's representative]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:				
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1-81</u> .				
Identification of prior art discussed: Kashi .				
Agreement with respect to the claims f) $\boxtimes$ was reached. g) $\square$ was not reached. h) $\square$ N/A.				
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: the applicant agrees to amend the claim such as each remote has an unique time slot in the clear channel for monitoring to overcome the prior art.				
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)				
i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a se checked).	parate record of the substanc	e of the interviev	v(if box is	
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW reverse side or on attached sheet.	. (See MPEP Section 713.04) MONTH FROM THIS INTERV	). If a reply to the VIEW DATE TO	e last Office FILE A	

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required